

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,769	01/16/2001	Laszlo Elteto	G&C 30074.29-US-I1	7445
22462	2 7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			JACKSON, JENISE E	
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90045		2131	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W.		
Office Action Summary		09/764,769	ELTETO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jenise E. Jackson	2131			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	}		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will be period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		•		
		nis action is non-final.				
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 18-23 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•		` '		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Analiantian Na			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		· ·			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 	•	en received in this National Stag	е		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ot received.			
·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _				

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rallis(6,216,230).
- 3. As per claims 1, 12, Rallis discloses a method of securing a token from unauthorized use(see col. 2, lines 52-56), including the steps of: receiving a first message transmitted from a host processing device an addressed to a PIN entry device according to a universal serial bus (USB) protocol (see col. 1, lines 49-54), the pin entry device communicating with the host processing device and being different from a keyboard associated with the host processing device(see col. 1, lines 49-54); accepting a PIN entered into the PIN entry device(see fig. 1A, sheet 1, col. 1, lines 49-52); and transmitting a second message including at least a portion of the first message and the PIN from the PIN entry device to the token along a secure communication path(see col. 1, lines 54-59). Further, as per claim 12, Rallis discloses the second message being transmitted from the pin entry device to the token along the secure communication path(see col. 2, lines 48-60).

Art Unit: 2131

4. As per claim 2, Rallis discloses the first message is received in the PIN entry device(see col. 1, lines 51-54); and the second message is transmitted from the PIN entry device directly to the token along the secure communication path(see col. 1, lines 60-67, col. 2, lines 52-56).

Page 3

- As per claim 3, Rallis discloses the step of receiving the first message transmitted from a host processing device and addressed to a PIN entry device(see col. 1, lines 51-59), includes receiving the first message in a USB-compliant hub, inherent, because Rallis discloses a USB port(14)(see fig. 1A, sheet 1)(see col. 2, lines 35-43, 52-56), communicatively coupled to the host processing device via a first communication path(see fig. 1A, sheet 1, col. 1, lines 49-59); transmitting the first message to the PIN entry device communicatively coupled to the USB-compliant hub, inherent, because Rallis discloses a USB port(14)(see fig. 1A, sheet 1, col. 1, lines 49-54); and the step of transmitting the second message comprising the portion of the first message and the PIN and at least a portion of the first message from the PIN entry device to the token along a secure communication path, transmitting a second message from the pin entry device via the USB hub(see col. 1, lines 49-67, col. 2, lines 35-43).
- 6. As per claim 4, Rallis discloses wherein the step of transmitting the second message from the PIN entry device via the USB-compliant hub includes the steps of: transmitting a third message comprising the PIN from the PIN entry device to the USB-compliant hub; processing the message in the USB-compliant hub to produce the second message; and transmitting the second message from the USB-compliant hub(see col. 1, lines 49-67). The Examiner asserts that the third message is whether the pin is correct or not.
- 7. As per claim 5, Rallis discloses wherein the signal received from the host processing device is generated in an API interface, is inherent in Rallis because Rallis discloses messages

Art Unit: 2131

that are both sent and received by the token and host processing device(i.e. notebook)(see col. 2, lines 48-56).

- 8. As per claims 6, 13, Rallis discloses the first message is encrypted according to a first encryption key; and the pin entry device comprises a decryption module having access to the first encryption key for decoding the first message(see col. 1, lines 37-67).
- 9. As per claim 7, Rallis discloses wherein the second message is transmitted to the token according to an USB-compliant protocol(14)(see fig. 1A, sheet 1)(see col. 1, lines 49-59).
- 10. As per claims 8, 15, Rallis discloses wherein the second message is encrypted according to a second encryption key and the token comprises a decryption module having access to the second encryption key(see col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-11).
- 11. As per claim 9, Rallis discloses wherein the step of transmitting the second message from the PIN entry device to the token further comprises the step of: encrypting the second message according to a second encryption key stored in the PIN entry device and the token; and transmitting the encrypted second message to the token(see col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-11, 17-24).
- 12. As per claim 10, Rallis discloses wherein the first message is a message transmitted from the host-processing device to authorize a transaction (see col. 1, lines 49-51). The Examiner asserts that the first message is the message that prompts the user to connect the key device(i.e. token to the host(i.e. notebook).
- 13. As per claim 11, Rallis et al. discloses wherein the first message is a message transmitted from the host processing device to authenticate a user of the token(see col. 1, lines 49-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,769 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

14. As per claim 14, Rallis discloses wherein the module is a software module having instructions stored in a memory accessible to the processor(see col. 2, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-19).

- 15. As per claim 16, Rallis discloses wherein the second module is a software module having instructions stored in a memory accessible to the processor(see col. 2, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-19).
- 16. As per claim 17, Rallis discloses wherein the PIN entry device further includes an output device for prompting the user to enter the PIN(see col. 1, lines 49-54). token(see col. 1, lines 49-59).
- 17. As per claims 18-23 are allowable for the features of, the hub accepting the pin and generating a message, which is sent to the token. In the prior art of security and networking, prior art fails to disclose or suggest, a USB hub intercepting a message and redirecting it to a different USB device to which the message was originally addressed.

Response to Amendment

18. The Applicant states Rallis does not disclose the pin entry device that communicates with the host-processing device is different from a keyboard associated with the host-processing device. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. The Examiner asserts that Rallis does not disclose the pin being entered through the keyboard of the host-processing device. Rallis discloses the user is prompted to connect the key device to the computer(see col. 1, lines 51-52). The user is prompted to enter a pin(see col. 1, lines 52-53). Rallis discloses that the user validation process does not take place until the key device is connected to the computer(see col. 1, lines 49-53).

- 19. The Applicant states that Rallis does not teach the use of a separate and distinct pin entry device. The Applicant states that the user inputs the pin using the laptop computer. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant, the same remarks above apply.
- 20. The Applicant states that the pin is not sent to the token. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Rallis discloses that the key device(i.e. token) returns response messages a serial number and an encryption key(see col. 2, lines 51-56).
- The Applicant states that independent claims 18 and 20 have been amended to show that the hub is what intercepts the message sent to the token and redirects it to the pin entry device.

 The Applicant remarks in regards to claims 18 and 20 are persuasive, and overcome prior art of Rallis. Thus claims 18-23 are allowable.

Final Action

22. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7